

BOARD OF BARBERING AND COSMETOLOGY
FINAL STATEMENT OF REASONS

Hearing Date: January 4, 2007

Sections Affected: Section 997 of Article 12 of Title 16, Division 4 of the California Code of Regulations.

Updated Information

The Initial Statement of Reasons is included in the file. No changes have been made which would warrant an update to the initial statement of reasons.

Local Mandate

A mandate is not imposed on local agencies or school districts.

Small Business Impact

This action will have no significant adverse economic impact on small businesses

Consideration of Alternatives

No reasonable alternative which was considered or that has otherwise been identified and brought to the attention of the board would be either more effective in carrying out the purpose for which the action is proposed or would be as effective and less burdensome to affected private persons than the proposed regulation.

Objections or Recommendations/Responses

Comments Received During the 45-day Comment period

There were no objections to the proposed action.
The following comments were made regarding the proposed regulation:

(1) Santosh Seeram, Gyroscope Consulting (Lobbyist), representing Ziba Beauty Centers.

Comment:

On behalf of Ziba Beauty Centers, I am in support of the proposed regulations regarding

the practice of threading. Assembly Member Rudy Bermudez introduced AB 1793 in 2005 recognizing the cultural importance of threading in the South Asian community. Threading is a routine and a well established beauty method in India and the Middle East. We strongly support the proposed definition of incidental trimming. The definition is in keeping with the intent of AB 1793. The proposed regulation accurately defines when and how threaders may use scissors within their scope of work. The proposed regulation clarifies the legislative language “incidental trimming” for threaders, inspectors, the barbering and cosmetology industry, and the public.

Board Response:

The board accepts this comment. The Board will note this comment, however, the board will not be making any changes to the proposed regulations as the comment is simply stating its support of the proposed action.